Case 5:11-cr-00143-LHK Document 11 Filed 05/02/11 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>CR11-00143JF</u>
v. JUVINNA WINSKOWSKI , Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on May 2, 2011. Defendant was present, represented by his attorney <u>Varel! Fuller</u> AFPD. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney	
Shawna Yen .	
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,	
whichever is later.	conviction of the release of the person from imprisonment,
	n or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	
There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant	
has committed an offense	
<i>t t</i>	nent of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et se	
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firear. This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition	
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the comm	numity a 2011
PARTII. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	- CHARD W. WIEKING COURT
The defendant has not come forward with sufficient e	vidence to rebut the applicable presumption of and he
therefore will be ordered detained.	MAT MAT MAT MICHARD W. WIEKING PRICH COURT COLIFORNIA PRICHARD W. WIEKING CALIFORNIA NORTHERN DISTRICT SAN JOSE Out the applicable presumption[s] to wit: .
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to reb	out the applicable presumption[s] to wit: .
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the Officer States.	
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)	
/ / The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will	
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will	
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.	
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION	
/ / The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at	
the hearing and finds as follows:	
/ / Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.	
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a	
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the	
United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the	
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
detendant to the entire state of the party of the	
1 /	
Dated: 5 2 11	1 V Dans
Dated:	
HOWARD R. LLOYD	
United States Magistrate Judge	

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____